Reference: NRA 2026/0749 (B)
Date: 13 October 2026
Email: procurementsr2@sanral.co.za

Fax Number: +27 (0) 12 844 8200
Direct Line: 27 (0) 12 844 8000
Website: www.nra.co.za

SANRAL

BUILDING SOUTH AFRICA THROUGH BETTER ROADS

Dear Tenderers

BID NUMBER: NRA 2026/0749 (B)

ROUTINE ROAD MAINTENANCE

ADDENDUM NO. 02

This Addendum is issued in terms of Clause C.3.2 of the Tender Data and must be read in conjunction with and shall be deemed to form part of the Tender Document.

The following items form part of Addendum No.2

- **AMENDMENT OF T1.2, CLAUSE C3.11 (EVALUATION OF TENDER OFFERS)**

Kindly acknowledge receipt of this addendum by completing the ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ADDENDUM below. Failure to acknowledge this addendum may invalidate the Tender.

Yours sincerely,

PROCUREMENT TEAM

The South African National Roads Agency SOC Limited
P.O. Box 415
Pretoria
0001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ADDENDUM NO.2

I _____

Representing Messrs _____

Hereby acknowledge that I have received the above Addendum and that I am conversant with the contents thereof.

SIGNATURE: _____

DATE: _____

This Addendum is to be read in conjunction with and shall be deemed to form part of the Contract Documents.

You must therefore acknowledge receipt of this addendum by emailing this form to the South African National Roads Agency SOC Limited at procurementsr2@sanral.co.za and the original must be attached to the Returnable Schedules.

Failure to acknowledge receipt of this addendum may invalidate the Tender.

This addendum is to be read in conjunction with and shall be deemed to be part of the Contract Documents

PART 2: AMENDMENTS TO TENDER DOCUMENTATION (BID NUMBER: 2026/0749(B)

Page	Clause / Item	Amendment / Addition
	C.2.7	Clarification meeting ***Replace the clause C.2.7 with the following:*** The briefing session is not compulsory. A clarification presentation will be shared on the SANRAL website under tender NRA 2026/0749 (B) X.003-087-2025/1- FOR THE ROUTINE ROAD MAINTENANCE OF NATIONAL ROUTE N9 SECTION 5 KM 0.0 TO SECTION 6 KM 62.97AND NATIONAL ROUTE R61 SECTION 2 KM 0.0 TO KM 17.25 AND NATIONAL ROUTE R63 SECTION 7 KM 40.20 TO SECTION 8 KM 20.25 X.003-088-2025/1- FOR THE ROUTINE ROAD MAINTENANCE OF NATIONAL ROUTES N9 SECTION 6 (KM 62.97) TO SECTION 7 (KM 28.82) AND NATIONAL ROUTE N10 SECTION 4 (KM 0.0) TO SECTION 5 (KM 6.34) AND NATIONAL ROUTE R56 SECTION 1 (KM 1.11 TO KM 58.78) X.003-091-2025/1- FOR THE ROUTINE ROAD MAINTENANCE OF NATIONAL ROUTES R63 SECTION 14 (KM 0 TO KM 58.56), R63 SECTION 15 (KM 0.47 TO KM 28.51), N2 SECTION 14 (KM 0 TO KM 65.76) AND N6 SECTION 1 (KM 50.38) TO SECTION 3 (KM 8.04) X.003-093-2025/1- FOR THE ROUTINE ROAD MAINTENANCE OF NATIONAL ROUTES R335 SECTION 1 KM 30.12 TO KM 49.48, R336 SECTION 1 KM 0.0 TO 48.05, R342 SECTION 1 KM 0.0 TO KM 24.53 AND R75 SECTION 2 KM 25.93 TO SECTION 3 KM 49.42 X.003-094-2025/1- FOR THE ROUTINE ROAD MAINTENANCE OF NATIONAL ROUTE R67 SECTION 2 (KM 36.19) TO SECTION 4 (KM 43.43) AND NATIONAL ROUTE R63 SECTION 12 (KM 16.34) TO SECTION 13 (KM 59.40)

	C.3.11 EVALUATION OF TENDER OFFERS	***Replace the clause C.3.11 with the following:***

"**Stage 1 Test for responsiveness as outlined by clause C.3.8 above.**

Stage 2 Mandatory Administration Criteria

1. Completed in full and signed Form of offer (i.e: all allocated spaces for completion have been filled, designated representative has signed together with both witnesses)
2. Bidder is registered with CSD.
3. Bidder has Valid Letter of Good Standing issued in accordance with the Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act (COIDA).
4. Meet the CIDB requirement as stated in Clause C.2.1.

Stage 3 Functionality Evaluation Criteria

Functionality is the terminology used to define the technical ability of the Tenderer, based on experience to deliver the required product in accordance with the specialized quality, reliability, and functionality. The functionality evaluation will be conducted by the Evaluation Committee which comprises of various skilled and experienced members from diverse professional disciplines. The evaluation process will be based on functionality criteria. The criteria will be as follows:

Points allocated for functionality shall be evaluated in accordance with the criteria as listed below. Total functionality points allocated shall be 100. Tenderers must score minimum score per sub-criterion, where applicable and an overall minimum threshold of 70 points out of 100 is required to be achieved for the tender to be eligible for further evaluation.

	Description of Eligibility Criteria for Functionality	*Score Allocation Schedule*	*Sub Minimum Score*	*Maximum Claimable Score*
1.	**Demonstrated experience of tendering entity** **Demonstrated experience of the tendering entity with respect to projects for routine road maintenance, period maintenance and special maintenance in the past fifteen (15) years measured per linear km on National, Provincial or Municipal roads:** 0.15 points for every linear km for work executed as a main contractor or a subcontractor.		**0**	**45**

Tenderers shall submit an award letter, Completion Certificate and a fully completed Reference Letter (Form B1.1) for each completed contract claimed. The reference letter shall have the Name and Logo of the Entity, company address, contact number, signature of the official authoring the letter as well as his/her designation.

Ongoing contracts that have been in execution for more than three (3) years will also be considered, provided that a fully completed Reference Letter and Award letter (Form B1.2) is submitted. A Completion Certificate is not required for such ongoing contracts.

Where no award letter or Completion Certificate and/or reference letter is provided for claimed projects, no points shall be awarded.

Score Calc. = *Length of (project (in kilometres) 1+ 2 + 3 + 4 + 5) x 0.15 = Total Score*

Eg. (165 + 100 + 35) x 0.15 = 45 points

2. **Qualifications and demonstrated experience of the key staff in relation to the Scope:**

a) Construction manager (site agent) (maximum 25 points):

Points will be allocated for the proposed Construction Manager (Site Agent) qualification as per the table below (maximum 10 points):

Qualifications:

Minimum Qualification	**Points**
None Specified	0
NQF 5 In Civil Engineering	5
NQF 6 (N. Dip in Civil Engineering) or higher in Civil Engineering field.	7

Professional Registrations:

Professional Registrations	**Points**
Professionally registered as per the Table in section C3.1.17.2 Minimum	3

10

25

				requirements (PrTechni Eng,Pr TechEng, Pr Eng, PrCM, PrCPM)				
				Points will be allocated for only one qualification and an additional 3 points for persons who meet the criteria for Professional registration. **Experience** Points will be allocated for the proposed Construction Manager's project experience as per the criteria below for projects completed over the last 20 years: • ≥5 to <8 years experience in the road construction field. **[5 points]** • ≥8 to <10 years experience in the road construction field. **[7 points]** • ≥10 to <12 years experience in the road construction field. **[10 points]** • ≥12 years experience in the civil road construction field **[13 points]** **Continuous Professional Development:** (Maximum 2 points) Where the proposed construction manager (site agent) has successfully completed the following accredited courses, additional point will be allocated: • Labour intensive construction (LIC) methods **[2 points]** or • FIDIC – Red book (minimum 2-day course) **[2 points]** The above requirements for the proposed Construction Manager (Site Agent) will be evaluated against a CV that shall be submitted together with Form B4. The CV must show the experience, the projects completed and the courses completed. **If at the time of award the Construction Manager is Committed, a suitably qualified alternative may be requested from the Employer, in line with the provisions as per the Table in section C3.1.17.2 Minimum requirements in Book 3, to fulfil the Key Person requirement.**				
				b) Contracts Manager (maximum 30 points):		15	30	

Points will be allocated for the proposed Contracts Managers qualification as per the table below (Maximum 13 points):

Qualifications

Minimum Qualification	**Points**
None Specified	0
NQF 5 In Civil Engineering	5
NQF 6 N. Dip in Civil Engineering	7
NQF7 (BTech/BSc/BEng or higher in Civil Engineering field.)	10

Professional Registrations:

Professional Registrations	**Points**
Professionally registered as per the Table in section C3.1.17.2 Minimum requirements (PrTechni Eng,Pr Tech Eng, Pr Eng, PrCM, PrCPM)	3

Experience (Maximum 13 points)

Points will be allocated for the proposed Contract Manager's project experience as per the criteria below for projects completed over the last 20 years.

- ≥5 to <8 years experience in the road construction field **[5 points]**
- ≥8 to <10 years experience in the road construction field **[8 points]**
- ≥10 to <15 years experience in the road construction field **[10 points]**
- >15 years experience in the road construction field **[15 points]**

Continuous Professional Development (Maximum 2 points)

Where the proposed Contracts Manager has successfully completed the following SAQA accredited courses, additional points will be allocated:

				• Labour intensive construction (LIC) methods **[2 points]** or • FIDIC – Red book (minimum 2-day course) **[2 points]** The above requirements for the proposed Contracts Manager will be evaluated against a CV that shall be submitted together with Form B4. The CV must show the experience, the projects completed and the courses completed. **If at the time of award the Contracts Manager is Committed, a suitably qualified alternative may be requested from the Employer, in line with the provisions as per the Table in section C3.1.17.2 Minimum requirements in Book 3, to fulfil the Key Person requirement.**				
				Maximum possible score for Functionality			**100**	
				Minimum qualifying score for Functionality			**70**	

Stage 4 Price and Preference

This is the final stage of the evaluation process and will be based on the PPPFA preference point system.
The tender will be evaluated in terms of the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act (PPPFA, Act 5 of 2000).

If it is unclear whether the 90/10 or 80/20 system applied, the preference point system to be applied will be based on the lowest acceptable tender.

1. 80/20 preference point system for acquisition of goods and services for Rand value equal to or above R2 000 and up to R50 million

The following formula will be used to calculate the points out of 80 for price:

Ps = 80(1-(Pt-Pm)/Pm)

Where:
Ps is the points scored for price of tender under consideration.
Pt is the price of the tender under consideration; and
Pm is the price of the lowest acceptable tender.

2. 90/10 preference point system for acquisition of goods and services for Rand value above R50 million

The following formula will be used to calculate the points out of 90 for price:

Ps = 90(1-(Pt-Pm)/Pm)

Where:
Ps is the points scored for price of tender under consideration.
Pt is the price of the tender under consideration; and
Pm is the price of the lowest acceptable tender.

SANRAL will score specific goals out of 10/20. If a bidder fails to meet the Specific goals as outlined on the table below and to submit proof, the bidder will score zero (0) out of 10/20. SANRAL will not disqualify the bidder. In terms of this bid/tender, Preference points will be awarded in terms of the table below:

Specific goals	Criteria	10 points		20 points	
		Point allocation	Maximum points	Point allocation	Maximum points
B-BBEE Level	Level 1	10.00		20.00	

	Level 2	9.00	10.00	18.00	20.00
	Level 3	6.00		14.00	
	Level 4	5.00		12.00	
	Level 5	4.00		8.00	
	Level 6	3.00		6.00	
	Level 7	2.00		4.00	
	Level 8	1.00		2.00	
	Non-compliant contributor	0.00		0.00	

Criteria for breaking deadlock

If two or more tenders score the same number of points and these tenders are also the highest ranked tenders, the tender with the highest preference points will be recommended for award.

If functionality is part of the evaluation process and two or more tenders score equal total points and equal preference points, the tender that scored the highest points for functionality will be recommended for award.

If two or more tenders score the same number of financial points and preference points and these tenders are also the highest ranked tenders, the tenderer to be recommended for award will be decided by the drawing of lots.